

**PROGRAM FOR ENCOURAGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT  
ACTIVITIES IN THE FIELD OF CLIMATE CHANGE (PKP-06-2016)  
1<sup>st</sup> Round Evaluation Criteria**

<b>PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
<p>1. Principal Investigator has experience in managing projects and teams in basic, applied and developmental research and is extremely active in the last 5 years as evidenced by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• implemented and ongoing research projects and results, which are primarily directed at the application in economy and/or social problems solving, especially those related to causes and consequences of climate change</li> <li>• several publications in leading international journals in the research area in which he is the lead or corresponding author in the observed scientific field according to the relevant scientific databases.</li> </ul>		
<p>2. Principal Investigator is a prominent scientist with internationally recognised achievements in science and/or technology in the area of the submitted project proposal as evidenced by:<sup>1</sup></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• invited lectures or seminars at prestigious foreign institutions and/or invited/intro/plenary lectures at international scientific conferences and invited lectures at advanced schools</li> <li>• international and/or national conferences, congresses or symposia, where the Principal Investigator was the organiser or a member of the coordination /management or organising committee</li> <li>• international and/or acknowledgements such as scientific awards or memberships in recognized scientific and professional associations</li> <li>• participation and/or management of international and/or national research projects (EU Framework Programmes, ERA, COST, NIH and similar programs)</li> <li>• peer reviewing articles in prestigious international and/or national scientific journals in the observed scientific area</li> <li>• memberships in editorial boards of international and/or national scientific journals in the observed scientific area</li> <li>• extended stays and training (at least one year) at prestigious scientific institutions abroad</li> <li>• proven research results applications in social and/or economic sector and registered international patents.</li> </ul>		
<p>3. Principal Investigator has significant mentoring experience which is demonstrated through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• papers published with young researchers</li> <li>• successful mentorship of doctoral students</li> <li>• excellent achievements of doctoral students he has mentored.</li> </ul>		

---

<sup>1</sup> At least 5 criteria should be positive for the answer to be YES.

**PROGRAM FOR ENCOURAGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT  
ACTIVITIES IN THE FIELD OF CLIMATE CHANGE (PKP-06-2016)  
1<sup>st</sup> Round Evaluation Criteria**

<b>PROJECT PROPOSAL</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
1. Project proposal deals with internationally and/or nationally relevant issues (substantially present in the best journals in the area, conference proceedings or books).		
2. Project proposal has the potential to significantly improve the existing knowledge or facilitate the application in the scientific area (it is competitive compared to the best ones in the area).		
3. Research questions, objectives, hypotheses and work plan are clear, reasoned and detailed.		
4. Proposed methodology is appropriate for the proposed research.		
5. Research plan is realistic considering proposed resources and scientific potential of the Principal Investigator and team members.		
6. Project proposal is a scientific or developmental research that has not been implemented before or funded from other sources and has a unique (original) contribution to the research area.		
7. Does the Principal Investigator have support of the institution and conditions for conducting the proposed research? Does the institution provide the necessary infrastructure and other conditions for project proposal implementation (space, equipment, organisational and technical support)?		

Project proposal is:

- A- of satisfactory quality and can enter the second round of evaluation (*peer review*).
- B- of good quality but not sufficient to enter the second round of evaluation (in relation to other project proposals it is not among the best ones, and with regard to the budget of the programme it is not included in the competition at this call for proposals).
- C- of insufficient quality to enter the second round of evaluation. Principal Investigator may also be subjected to resubmission restrictions to future calls for proposals.

**Please explain your assessment of the project proposal using a minimum of 50 words:**

**PROGRAM FOR ENCOURAGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT  
ACTIVITIES IN THE FIELD OF CLIMATE CHANGE (PKP-06-2016)  
1<sup>st</sup> Round Evaluation Criteria**

**If the proposal is referred to peer review please explain your recommendation and list scientific publications from the project proposal that qualify the candidate as well as their impact factor (IF).**